

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/729,209	Applicant(s) DUPLESSIS ET AL.		
	Examiner FATOUMATA TRAORE	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Art Unit 2436 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 2436	
Art Unit 2436				

All Participants:

(1) FATOUMATA TRAORE.

(2) Himanshu S. Amin, Registration. No. 40,894.

Date of Interview: 23 October 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:00AM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Himanshu S. Amin, (Registration. No. 40,894), To get authorization to amend Claims 1, 15, 21 and 22 in order to put the application in better condition for allowance.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Nasser G Moazzami/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)